arXiv:1702.03024v1 [math.AP] 10 Feb 2017

ON A BACKWARD PROBLEM FOR MULTIDIMENSIONAL GINZBURG-LANDAU EQUATION WITH RANDOM DATA

MOKHTAR KIRANE, ERKAN NANE AND NGUYEN HUY TUAN

Abstract. In this paper, we consider a backward in time problem for Ginzburg-Landau equation in multidimensional domain associated with some random data. The problem is ill-posed in the sense of Hadamard. To regularize the instable solution, we develop a new regularized method combined with statistical approach to solve this problem. We prove a upper bound, on the rate of convergence of the mean integrated squared error in L^2 norm and H^1 norm.

1. Introduction

In this paper we consider the backward problem of finding $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x},0)$ for Ginzburg-Landau equation

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{u}_t - \Lambda(t)\Delta\mathbf{u} &= \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^3 + G(\mathbf{x},t), & (\mathbf{x},t) \in \Omega \times (0,T), \\ \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x},t) &= 0, & \mathbf{x} \in \partial\Omega, \\ \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x},T) &= H(\mathbf{x}), & \mathbf{x} \in \Omega, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where $\Lambda \in C([0,T])$ and H is in $L^2(\Omega)$. Here the domain $\Omega = (0,\pi)^d$ is a subset of $\mathbb{R}^d$ and $\mathbf{x} := (x_1, ...x_d)$. The function G is called the source function that satisfies the usual Lipschitz continuity and growth conditions. The function H is given and is often called a final value data. The Ginzburg-Landau equation has been applied in various areas in physics, including phase transitions in non-equilibrium systems, instabilities in hydrodynamic systems, chemical turbulence, and thermodynamics (see [1, 8]).

It is well-known that the backward in time problem for Ginzburg-Landau equation is severely ill–posed in the sense of Hadamard [1] and [7]. Hence solutions do not always exist, and in the case of existence, the solutions do not depend continuously on the data. In [1], the author considered continuous dependence of the solutions on the parameter of a Ginzburg–Landau equation. In fact, from small noise contaminated physical measurements of H and G, the corresponding solutions might have large errors. In practice, if we measure the function $H(\mathbf{x})$ and $G(\mathbf{x},t)$ at fixed points $\mathbf{x_i}$ with index $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, i_2, ...i_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d, 1 \le i_k \le n_k$ for $k = \overline{1,d}$ where

$$\mathbf{x_i} = (x_{i_1}, ...x_{i_d}) = \Big(\frac{\pi(2i_1-1)}{2n_1}, \frac{\pi(2i_2-1)}{2n_2}, ...\frac{\pi(2i_d-1)}{2n_d}\Big), \quad i_k = \overline{1,n_k}, \quad k = \overline{1,d}. \tag{1.2}$$

then we obtain a set of values

$$\widetilde{D}_{\mathbf{i}} = \widetilde{D}_{i_1,i_2,...i_d} \approx H(x_{i_1}, ...x_{i_d}),\ \widetilde{G}_{\mathbf{i}}(t) = \widetilde{G}_{i_1,i_2,...i_d}(t) \approx G(x_{i_1}, ...x_{i_d}, t).$$

The points $\mathbf{x_i}, \mathbf{i} = (i_1, i_2, ...i_d), \quad i_k = \overline{1,n_k}, \quad k = \overline{1,d}$ are called (non-random) design points. The real measurements are always observed with errors. If the errors are generated from uncontrollable sources such as wind, rain, humidity, etc, then the model is random. We consider the following nonparametric regression model of data as follows

$$\widetilde{D}_{\mathbf{i}} = \widetilde{D}_{i_1,i_2,...i_d} := H(x_{i_1}, ...x_{i_d}) + \Lambda_{i_1,i_2,...i_d}\Upsilon_{i_1,i_2,...i_d} = H(\mathbf{x_i}) + \Lambda_{\mathbf{i}}\Upsilon_{\mathbf{i}} \tag{1.3}$$

$$\widetilde{G}_{\mathbf{i}}(t) = \widetilde{G}_{i_1,i_2,...i_d}(t) := G(x_{i_1}, ...x_{i_d}, t) + \vartheta\Psi_{i_1,i_2,...i_d}(t) = G(\mathbf{x_i}, t) + \vartheta\Psi_{\mathbf{i}}(t), \tag{1.4}$$

for $i_k = \overline{1,n_k}, \quad k = \overline{1,d}$. Here $\Upsilon_{\mathbf{i}} := \Upsilon_{i_1,i_2,...i_d} \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$ and $\Psi_{\mathbf{i}}(t) := \Psi_{i_1,i_2,...i_d}(t)$ are Brownian motions. Here $\Lambda_{i_1,i_2,...i_d}$ and ϑ are positive constants which are bounded by a positive constant V_{max}. We assume furthermore that they are mutually independent.

A well known fact is that, when the "noise" in these models are modeled as a random quantity, the convergence of estimators $\widetilde{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{x},0)$ of $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x},0)$ should be studied by statistical methods.

Methods applied to the deterministic cases cannot be applied directly for this case. The main idea in using the random noise is of finding suitable estimators $\widetilde{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{x},0)$ and to consider the expected square error $\mathbb{E}\Big[\|\widetilde{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{x},0)-\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x},0)\|^2_{L^2}\Big]$ in a suitable space, also called the mean integrated square error (MISE).

The inverse problem with random noise has a long history. The backward problem for linear parabolic equation is a special form of statistical inverse problems and it can be transform by a linear operator with random noise

$$u_T = Ku_0 + \text{"noise"}. \tag{1.5}$$

where K is a bounded linear operator that does not have a continuous inverse. The latter model implies that some well-known methods including spectral cut-off (or called truncation method) [3, 4, 11, 9, 15], the Tiknonov method [5], iterative regularization methods [6] can be used.

In this paper, since the model in (1.1) is nonlinear, we can not transform it into the operator defined in equation (1.5). This makes the considering the nonlinear problem (1.1) is more challenging. Another difficulty arises when Λ is noisy by random observation

$$\Lambda_\epsilon(t) = \Lambda(t) + \epsilon\overline{\xi}(t), \tag{1.6}$$

where ϵ is deterministic noise level and $\overline{\xi}(t)$ is Brownian motion. If $\Lambda(t)$ is a constant (independent of t) then we can apply well-known methods such as the spectral method (see section 3 [13]) for solving the problem (1.1). However, when Λ depends on t and is noisy as in equation (1.6), the problem is more challenging. It is not possible to approximate the solution of problem (1.1) using the spectral method. Until now, to the best of the authors' knowledge, there does not exist any results for approximating the solution of the Problem (1.1) with the random model (1.3),(1.4), (1.6). This is our motivation in the present paper.

Our main goal in this paper is to provide a new regularized method to give a regularized solution that is called estimators for approximating $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x},t),\ 0 \le t < T$. The backward problem for Ginzburg–Landau equation with random data has not been studied before. Our main idea in this paper is that of applying a modified Quasi-reversibility method as in Lions [10]. First, we approximate H and G by the approximating functions $\widehat{H}_{\beta_\mathbf{n}}$ and $\widehat{G}_{\beta_\mathbf{n}}$ that are defined in Theorem (2.1). Next, our task is of finding the approximating operator for $\Lambda(t)\Delta$. We will not approximate directly the time dependent operator $\mathcal{A}(t) = \Lambda(t)\Delta$ as introduced in [10]. We introduce a new approach by giving the unbounded time independent operator $\mathbf{P}$ that is defined in Lemma (3.1). Then, we approximate $\mathbf{P}$ by a bounded operator $\mathbf{P}_{\rho_\mathbf{n}}$, in order to establish an approximation for the regularized problem 3.10. Here β_n satisfies that $\lim_{n\to\infty}\beta_n = +\infty$, and we choose ρ_n that depends on β_n suitably to obtain the convergence rate. In contrast to the initial value problem, for the final value (inverse) problem, we need to assume that the problem (1.1) has a unique solution $\mathbf{u}$. In particular, the main purpose in our error estimates is to show that the norm of difference between the regularized solution of the problem (3.10) and the solution of the problem (1.1) in $L^2(\Omega)$ and $H^1(\Omega)$ tends to zero when $|\mathbf{n}| = \sqrt{n_1^2 + ... + n_d^2} \to +\infty$.

2. Constructing a function from discrete random data

In this section, we develop a new theory for constructing a function in $L^2(\Omega)$ from the given discrete random data.

We first introduce notation, and then we state the main results of this paper.

We will occasionally use the following Gronwall's inequaly in this paper.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $b : [0,T] \to \mathbb{R}^+$ be a continuous function and $C, D > 0$ be constants that are independent of t, such that*

$$b(t) \le C + D\int_t^T b(\tau)d\tau, \quad t > 0.$$

Then we have

$$b(t) \le Ce^{D(T-t)}.$$

Next we define fractional powers of the Dirichlet Laplacian

$$Af := -\Delta f.$$

Since A is a linear, densely defined self-adjoint and positive definite elliptic operator on the connected bounded domain Ω with Dirichlet boundary condition, using spectral theory, it is easy to show that the eigenvalues of A are given by $\lambda_{\mathbf{p}} = |\mathbf{p}|^2 = p_1^2 + p_2^2 + \cdots + p_d^2$. The corresponding eigenfunctions are denoted respectively by

$$\psi_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{x}) = \left(\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}\right)^d \sin(p_1 x_1)\sin(p_2 x_2)\cdots\sin(p_d x_d). \tag{2.1}$$

Thus the eigenpairs $(\lambda_{\mathbf{p}}, \psi_{\mathbf{p}})$, $p \in \mathbb{N}^d$, satisfy

$$\begin{cases} A\psi_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{x}) = -\lambda_{\mathbf{p}}\psi_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{x}), & \mathbf{x} \in \Omega \\ \psi_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{x}) = 0, & \mathbf{x} \in \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

The functions $\psi_{\mathbf{p}}$ are normalized so that $\{\psi_{\mathbf{p}}\}_{\mathbf{p}\in\mathbb{N}^d}$ is an orthonormal basis of $L^2(\Omega)$.
We will use the following notation: $|\mathbf{p}| = |(p_1, \cdots, p_d)| = \sqrt{p_1^2 + ... + p_d^2}$, $|\mathbf{n}| = |(n_1, \cdots, n_d)| = \sqrt{n_1^2 + ... + n_d^2}$.

Definition 2.1. *For $\gamma > 0$, we define*

$$\mathcal{H}^\gamma(\Omega) := \left\{ h \in L^2(\Omega) : \sum_{p_1=1}^{\infty} ... \sum_{p_d=1}^{\infty} |\mathbf{p}|^{2\gamma} < h, \psi_{\mathbf{p}} >^2 < \infty \right\}. \tag{2.2}$$

The norm on $\mathcal{H}^\gamma(\Omega)$ is defined by

$$\|h\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^\gamma(\Omega)} := \sum_{p_1=1}^{\infty} ... \sum_{p_d=1}^{\infty} |\mathbf{p}|^{2\gamma} < h, \psi_{\mathbf{p}} >^2 . \tag{2.3}$$

For any Banach space X, we denote by $L_p(0,T;X)$, the Banach space of measurable real functions $v : (0,T) \to X$ such that

$$\|v\|_{L^p(0,T;X)} = \left(\int_0^T \|v(\cdot,t)\|_X^p \, dt \right)^{1/p} < \infty, \quad 1 \le p < \infty,$$

$$\|v\|_{L^\infty(0,T;X)} = \text{esssup}_{0<t<T} \|v(\cdot,t)\|_X < \infty, \quad p = \infty.$$

Let $\beta : \mathbb{N}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function. We state the next result which gives error estimate between H and $\widehat{H}_{\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}$, and error estimate between $\widehat{G}_{\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}$ and G.

Theorem 2.1 (Theorem 2.1 in Kirane et al. [13])**.** *Define the set $\mathcal{W}_{\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}$ for any $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, ..n_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$*

$$\mathcal{W}_{\beta_{\mathbf{n}}} = \mathcal{W}_{\beta_{\mathbf{n}}} = \left\{ \mathbf{p} = (p_1, ...p_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d : |\mathbf{p}|^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{d} p_k^2 \le \beta_{\mathbf{n}} = \beta(n_1, ...n_d) \right\} \tag{2.4}$$

where $\beta_{\mathbf{n}}$ satisfies

$$\lim_{|\mathbf{n}|\to+\infty} \beta_{\mathbf{n}} = +\infty.$$

For a given $\mathbf{n}$ and $\beta_{\mathbf{n}}$ we define functions that are approximating H, G as follows

$$\widehat{H}_{\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{p}\in\mathcal{W}_{\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}} \left[\frac{\pi^d}{\prod_{k=1}^d n_k} \sum_{i_1=1}^{n_1} ... \sum_{i_d=1}^{n_d} \widetilde{D}_{i_1,i_2,...i_d} \psi_{\mathbf{p}}(x_{i_1}, ...x_{i_d}) \right] \psi_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{x}) \tag{2.5}$$

and

$$\widehat{G}_{\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(\mathbf{x},t) = \sum_{p\in\mathcal{W}_{\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}} \left[\frac{\pi^d}{\prod_{k=1}^d n_k} \sum_{i_1=1}^{n_1} ... \sum_{i_d=1}^{n_d} \widetilde{G}_{i_1,i_2,...i_d}(t) \psi_{\mathbf{p}}(x_{i_1}, ...x_{i_d}) \right] \psi_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{x}). \tag{2.6}$$

Let $\mu = (\mu_1, ...\mu_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with $\mu_k > \frac{1}{2}$ for any $k = \overline{1,d}$. Let us choose $\mu_0 \geq d\max(\mu_1, ...\mu_d)$. If $H \in \mathcal{H}^{\mu_0}(\Omega)$ and $G \in L^\infty(0,T;\mathcal{H}^{\mu_0}(\Omega))$ then the following estimates hold

$$\mathbf{E}\left\|\widehat{H}_{\beta_{\mathbf{n}}} - H\right\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \overline{C}(\mu_1, ...\mu_d, H)\beta_{\mathbf{n}}^{d/2}\prod_{k=1}^{d}(n_k)^{-4\mu_k} + 4\beta_{\mathbf{n}}^{-\mu_0}\left\|H\right\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^{\mu_0}(\Omega)},$$

$$\mathbf{E}\left\|\widehat{G}_{\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(.,t) - G(.,t)\right\|^2_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} \leq \overline{C}(\mu_1, ...\mu_d, H)\beta_{\mathbf{n}}^{d/2}\prod_{k=1}^{d}(n_k)^{-4\mu_k} + 4\beta_{\mathbf{n}}^{-\mu_0}\left\|G\right\|^2_{L^\infty(0,T;\mathcal{H}^{\mu_0}(\Omega))},$$

where

$$\overline{C}(\mu_1, ...\mu_d, H) = 8\pi^d V_{max}^2\frac{2\pi^{d/2}}{d\Gamma(d/2)} + \frac{16\mathcal{C}^2(\mu_1, ...\mu_d)\pi^{d/2}}{d\Gamma(d/2)}\left\|H\right\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^{\mu_0}(\Omega)}.$$

Corollary 2.1 (Corollary 2.1 in Kirane et al. [13])**.** *Let H, G be as in Theorem* (2.1)*. Then the term $\mathbf{E}\left\|\widehat{H}_{\beta_{\mathbf{n}}} - H\right\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + T\mathbf{E}\left\|\widehat{G}_{\beta_{\mathbf{n}}} - G\right\|^2_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}$ is of order*

$$\max\left(\frac{\beta_{\mathbf{n}}^{d/2}}{\prod_{k=1}^{d}(n_k)^{4\mu_k}},\ \beta_{\mathbf{n}}^{-\mu_0}\right).$$

3. Backward problem for parabolic equations with random coefficients

In this section, we assume that $\Lambda_\epsilon, \Lambda$ are continuous functions on $[0,T]$, hence there exist two positive numbers A_0, A_1 such that

$$A_0 \leq \|\Lambda_\epsilon\|_{C([0,T])} = \sup_{0\leq t\leq T}|\Lambda_\epsilon(t)| < A_1,\ A_0 \leq \|\Lambda\|_{C([0,T])} = \sup_{0\leq t\leq T}|\Lambda(t)| < A_1. \tag{3.1}$$

It is well-known that the function $F(u) = u - u^3$ is a locally Lipschitz function. We approximate the function $F(u) = u - u^3$ by $\overline{F}_Q$ defined by

$$\overline{F}_Q\left(u(\mathbf{x},t)\right) = \begin{cases} Q - Q^3, & u(\mathbf{x},t) > Q, \\ u - u^3, & -Q \leq u(\mathbf{x},t) \leq Q, \\ -Q + Q^3, & u(\mathbf{x},t) < -Q, \end{cases}$$

for all $Q > 0$. In the sequel we use a parameter sequence $Q_{\mathbf{n}} := Q(n_1, n_2, ...n_d) \to +\infty$ as $|\mathbf{n}| \to +\infty$. So, when $|\mathbf{n}|$ is large enough, we have that $Q_{\mathbf{n}} \geq \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}$. Moreover, we also have

$$\overline{F}_{Q_{\mathbf{n}}}(\mathbf{u}) = F(\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^3,\ \text{for } |\mathbf{n}| \text{ large enough.} \tag{3.2}$$

Using [14], we also obtain that $\overline{F}_{Q_{\mathbf{n}}}$ is a globally Lipschitz source function in the following sense

$$\|\overline{F}_{Q_{\mathbf{n}}}(v_1) - \overline{F}_{Q_{\mathbf{n}}}(v_2)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \left(2 + 6Q_{\mathbf{n}}^2\right)\|v_1 - v_2\|_{L^2(\Omega)}, \tag{3.3}$$

for any $v_1, v_2 \in L^2(\Omega)$.

Lemma 3.1. *Define the following space of functions*

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\gamma,B}(\Omega) := \left\{f \in L^2(\Omega),\ \sum_{\mathbf{p}\in\mathbb{N}^d}|\mathbf{p}|^{2+2\gamma}e^{2B|\mathbf{p}|^2}\left\langle f, \psi_{\mathbf{p}}\right\rangle^2_{L^2(\Omega)} < +\infty\right\}, \tag{3.4}$$

for any $\gamma \geq 0$ and $B \geq 0$. Define also the operator $\mathbf{P} = A_1\Delta$ (A_1 is the upper bound in (3.1)*) and $\mathbf{P}_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}}}$ is defined as follows*

$$\mathbf{P}_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}}}(v) = A_1\sum_{|\mathbf{p}|\leq\sqrt{\frac{\rho_{\mathbf{n}}}{A_1}}}^{\infty}|\mathbf{p}|^2\left\langle v(x), \psi_{\mathbf{p}}\right\rangle_{L^2(\Omega)}\psi_{\mathbf{p}}, \tag{3.5}$$

for any function $v \in L^2(\Omega)$. Then for any $v \in L^2(\Omega)$

$$\|\mathbf{P}_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}}}(v)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \rho_{\mathbf{n}}\|v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}, \tag{3.6}$$

and for $v \in \mathcal{Z}_{\gamma,TA_1}(\Omega)$

$$\|\mathbf{P}v - \mathbf{P}_{\rho_\mathbf{n}} v\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq A_1 \rho_\mathbf{n}^{-\gamma} e^{-T\rho_\mathbf{n}} \|v\|_{\mathcal{Z}_{\gamma,TA_1}(\Omega)}. \tag{3.7}$$

Proof. First, for any $v \in L^2(\Omega)$, we have

$$\|\mathbf{P}_{\rho_\mathbf{n}}(v)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} = A_1^2 \sum_{|\mathbf{p}| \leq \sqrt{\frac{\rho_\mathbf{n}}{A_1}}}^{\infty} |\mathbf{p}|^4 \left\langle v(x), \psi_\mathbf{p} \right\rangle^2_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \rho_\mathbf{n}^2 \sum_{|\mathbf{p}| \leq \sqrt{\frac{\rho_\mathbf{n}}{A_1}}}^{\infty} \left\langle v(x), \psi_\mathbf{p} \right\rangle^2_{L^2(\Omega)} = \rho_\mathbf{n}^2 \|v\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}, \tag{3.8}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathbf{P}v - \mathbf{P}_{\rho_\mathbf{n}}(v)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} &= A_1^2 \sum_{|\mathbf{p}| > \sqrt{\frac{\rho_\mathbf{n}}{A_1}}}^{\infty} |\mathbf{p}|^{-4\gamma} e^{-2TA_1|\mathbf{p}|^2} |\mathbf{p}|^{4+4\gamma} e^{2TA_1|\mathbf{p}|^2} \left\langle v(x), \psi_\mathbf{p} \right\rangle^2_{L^2(\Omega)} \\
&\leq A_1^2 \rho_\mathbf{n}^{-2\gamma} e^{-2TA_1\rho_\mathbf{n}} \sum_{|\mathbf{p}| > \sqrt{\frac{\rho_\mathbf{n}}{A_1}}}^{\infty} |\mathbf{p}|^{4+4\gamma} e^{2TA_1|\mathbf{p}|^2} \left\langle v(x), \psi_\mathbf{p} \right\rangle^2_{L^2(\Omega)} \\
&= A_1^2 \rho_\mathbf{n}^{-2\gamma} e^{-2T\rho_\mathbf{n}} \|v\|^2_{\mathcal{Z}_{\gamma,TA_1}(\Omega)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

□

Applying a modified quasi-reversibility method as in Section 4.1 in Kirane et al. [13], we introduce the regularized solution defined by

$$\begin{cases}
\dfrac{\partial \widehat{U}^\epsilon_{\rho_\mathbf{n},\beta_\mathbf{n}}}{\partial t} - \Lambda_\epsilon(t)\Delta \widehat{U}^\epsilon_{\rho_\mathbf{n},\beta_\mathbf{n}} - \mathbf{P}\widehat{U}^\epsilon_{\rho_\mathbf{n},\beta_\mathbf{n}} + \mathbf{P}_{\rho_\mathbf{n}} \widehat{U}^\epsilon_{\rho_\mathbf{n},\beta_\mathbf{n}} \\
\qquad = F_{Q_\mathbf{n}}(\widehat{U}^\epsilon_{\rho_\mathbf{n},\beta_\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{x},t)) + \widehat{G}_{\beta_\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{x},t),\ 0 < t < T, \\
\widehat{U}^\epsilon_{\rho_\mathbf{n},\beta_\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{x},t) = 0,\ \mathbf{x} \in \partial\Omega, \\
\widehat{U}^\epsilon_{\rho_\mathbf{n},\beta_\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{x},T) = \widehat{H}_{\beta_\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{x}).
\end{cases} \tag{3.10}$$

Since the first equation of the system (3.10) contains the term $\Lambda_\epsilon(t)$ which depends on ϵ, it is suitable to denote the solution of Problem (3.10) by $\widehat{U}^\epsilon_{\rho_\mathbf{n},\beta_\mathbf{n}}$ with three variables $\rho_\mathbf{n}, \beta_\mathbf{n}, \epsilon$. Now, we give convergence rates between the regularized solution $\widehat{U}^\epsilon_{\rho_\mathbf{n},\beta_\mathbf{n}}$ of Problem (3.10) and the solution $\mathbf{u}$ of Problem (1.1). Furthermore, we show that $\widehat{U}^\epsilon_{\rho_\mathbf{n},\beta_\mathbf{n}}$ converges to $\mathbf{u}$ when $|\mathbf{n}| \to +\infty$ and $\epsilon \to 0$.

Theorem 3.1. *The problem* (3.10) *has a unique solution* $\widehat{U}^\epsilon_{\rho_\mathbf{n},\beta_\mathbf{n}} \in C\left([0,T]; L^2(\Omega)\right) \cap L^2\left(0,T; H_0^1(\Omega)\right)$. *Assume that Problem* (1.1) *has unique solution* $\mathbf{u} \in L^\infty(0,T; \mathcal{Z}_{\gamma,TA_1}(\Omega))$.

(a) Error estimate in L^2. *Let* H, G *be as in Theorem 2.1. Let* $\beta_\mathbf{n}, \rho_\mathbf{n}$ *be such that*

$$\lim_{|\mathbf{n}|\to+\infty} \beta_\mathbf{n} = \lim_{|\mathbf{n}|\to+\infty} \rho_\mathbf{n} = +\infty, \quad \lim_{|\mathbf{n}|\to+\infty} \frac{e^{2\rho_\mathbf{n} T} \beta_\mathbf{n}^{d/2}}{\prod_{k=1}^d (n_k)^{4\mu_k}} = \lim_{|\mathbf{n}|\to+\infty} e^{2\rho_\mathbf{n} T} \beta_\mathbf{n}^{-\mu_0} = 0, \tag{3.11}$$

and

$$\rho_\mathbf{n} \leq \frac{1}{T} \log\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{E}(\epsilon)}\right) \tag{3.12}$$

for any $0 < \mathcal{E}(\epsilon) < 1$ *that satisfies*

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \frac{\epsilon}{\mathcal{E}(\epsilon)} = 0. \tag{3.13}$$

Let $Q_\mathbf{n}$ *be such that*

$$\lim_{|\mathbf{n}|\to+\infty} e^{6Q_\mathbf{n}^2 T} \rho_\mathbf{n}^{-2\gamma} = \lim_{|\mathbf{n}|\to+\infty} e^{6Q_\mathbf{n}^2 T} \frac{e^{2\rho_\mathbf{n} T} \beta_\mathbf{n}^{d/2}}{\prod_{k=1}^d (n_k)^{4\mu_k}} = \lim_{|\mathbf{n}|\to+\infty} e^{6Q_\mathbf{n}^2 T} e^{2\rho_\mathbf{n} T} \beta_\mathbf{n}^{-\mu_0} = 0. \tag{3.14}$$

and

$$Q_{\mathbf{n}} \leq \sqrt{\frac{1}{6T}\log\Big(\frac{1}{\mathcal{E}_0(\epsilon)}\Big)} \tag{3.15}$$

where $0 < \mathcal{E}_0(\epsilon) < 1$ *that satisfies*

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\frac{\epsilon}{\mathcal{E}(\epsilon)\mathcal{E}_0(\epsilon)} = 0. \tag{3.16}$$

Then for $|\mathbf{n}|$ *large enough, and* ϵ *small enough* $\mathbf{E}\Big\|\widehat{U}^{\epsilon}_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}} - u\Big\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$ *is of order*

$$e^{6Q_{\mathbf{n}}^2 T}\max\left(\frac{e^{2\rho_{\mathbf{n}}(T-t)}\beta_{\mathbf{n}}^{d/2}}{\prod_{k=1}^{d}(n_k)^{4\mu_k}}, e^{-2\rho_{\mathbf{n}}t}\rho_{\mathbf{n}}^{-2\gamma}, e^{2\rho_{\mathbf{n}}(T-t)}\beta_{\mathbf{n}}^{-\mu_0}\right) + \frac{\epsilon}{\mathcal{E}(\epsilon)\mathcal{E}_0(\epsilon)}. \tag{3.17}$$

(b) Error estimate in $H^1(\Omega)$. *Let* G *be as in Theorem 2.1 and* $H \in \mathcal{H}^{\mu_0+1}(\Omega)$. *Let* $\beta_{\mathbf{n}}, \rho_{\mathbf{n}}$ *be such that*

$$\lim_{|\mathbf{n}|\to+\infty}\beta_{\mathbf{n}} = \lim_{|\mathbf{n}|\to+\infty}\rho_{\mathbf{n}} = +\infty, \quad \lim_{|\mathbf{n}|\to+\infty}\frac{e^{2\rho_{\mathbf{n}}T}\beta_{\mathbf{n}}^{\frac{d+2}{2}}}{\prod_{k=1}^{d}(n_k)^{4\mu_k}} = \lim_{|\mathbf{n}|\to+\infty}e^{2\rho_{\mathbf{n}}T}\beta_{\mathbf{n}}^{-\mu_0} = 0, \tag{3.18}$$

and

$$\rho_{\mathbf{n}} \leq \frac{1}{T}\log\Big(\frac{1}{\mathcal{E}(\epsilon)}\Big). \tag{3.19}$$

Assume that $Q_{\mathbf{n}}$ *satisfies that*

$$\lim_{|\mathbf{n}|\to+\infty}\exp\Big(\frac{48TQ_{\mathbf{n}}^2}{A_1-A_0}\Big)\rho_{\mathbf{n}}^{-2\gamma} = \lim_{|\mathbf{n}|\to+\infty}\exp\Big(\frac{48TQ_{\mathbf{n}}^2}{A_1-A_0}\Big)\frac{e^{2\rho_{\mathbf{n}}T}\beta_{\mathbf{n}}^{\frac{d+2}{2}}}{\prod_{k=1}^{d}(n_k)^{4\mu_k}} = 0 \tag{3.20}$$

and

$$Q_{\mathbf{n}} \leq \sqrt{\frac{A_1-A_0}{48T}\log\Big(\frac{1}{\mathcal{E}_0(\epsilon)}\Big)}. \tag{3.21}$$

Then for $|\mathbf{n}|$ *large enough, and* ϵ *small enough* $\mathbf{E}\Big\|\widehat{U}^{\epsilon}_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}} - \mathbf{u}\Big\|_{H^1(\Omega)}$ *is of order*

$$\exp\Big(\frac{48TQ_{\mathbf{n}}^2}{A_1-A_0}\Big)\max\left(\frac{e^{2\rho_{\mathbf{n}}(T-t)}\beta_{\mathbf{n}}^{\frac{d+2}{2}}}{\prod_{k=1}^{d}(n_k)^{4\mu_k}}, e^{-2\rho_{\mathbf{n}}t}\rho_{\mathbf{n}}^{-2\gamma}, e^{2\rho_{\mathbf{n}}(T-t)}\beta_{\mathbf{n}}^{-\mu_0}\right) + \frac{\epsilon}{\mathcal{E}(\epsilon)\mathcal{E}_0(\epsilon)}. \tag{3.22}$$

Remark 3.1. *Let us give one choice for* $\beta_{\mathbf{n}}$ *as follows*

$$\beta_{\mathbf{n}} = \left(\prod_{k=1}^{d} n_k\right)^{\frac{1}{2\alpha_0+d/2}} \tag{3.23}$$

then we choose $\rho_{\mathbf{n}}$ *such that*

$$\rho_{\mathbf{n}} = \frac{\alpha_0}{T(2\alpha_0+d/2)}\log\left(\prod_{k=1}^{d} n_k\right). \tag{3.24}$$

Since $\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\frac{\epsilon}{\mathcal{E}(\epsilon)} = 0$, *we can choose* $\mathcal{E}(\epsilon) = \epsilon^{m_0}$ *for any* $0 < m_0 < 1$. *Since* $\rho_{\mathbf{n}} \leq \frac{1}{T}\log\Big(\frac{1}{\mathcal{E}(\epsilon)}\Big)$, *we know that*

$$\frac{\alpha_0}{T(2\alpha_0+d/2)}\log\left(\prod_{k=1}^{d} n_k\right) \leq \frac{m_0}{T}\log(\frac{1}{\epsilon}).$$

Let us choose $Q_{\mathbf{n}}$ *such that* $e^{6TQ_{\mathbf{n}}^2} = \big(\overline{\Pi}(\mathbf{n})\big)^{\delta_0-1}$ *for any* $0 < \delta_0 < 1$. *So, we have*

$$Q_{\mathbf{n}} = \sqrt{\frac{\delta_0-1}{6T}\log\big(\overline{\Pi}(\mathbf{n})\big)}. \tag{3.25}$$

Here $\overline{\Pi}(\mathbf{n})$ *is defined by*

$$\overline{\Pi}(\mathbf{n}) = \max\left(e^{2T\overline{M}(\sqrt{\rho_{\mathbf{n}}})}\beta_{\mathbf{n}}^{d/2}\prod_{k=1}^{d}(n_k)^{-4\mu_k}, e^{2T\overline{M}(\sqrt{\rho_{\mathbf{n}}})}\beta_{\mathbf{n}}^{-\mu_0}, \left|\overline{M}(|\sqrt{\rho_{\mathbf{n}}}|)\right|^{-2\gamma}\right). \tag{3.26}$$

Let us continue to choose $\mathcal{E}_0(\epsilon) = \epsilon^{m_1}$ *for any* $0 < m_1 < 1 - m_0$. *Since* $Q_{\mathbf{n}} \leq \sqrt{\frac{1}{6T}\log\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{E}_0(\epsilon)}\right)}$, *we have*

$$\log\left(\frac{1}{\overline{\Pi}(\mathbf{n})}\right) \leq \frac{m_1}{1-\delta_0}\log\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right).$$

Using similar argument as above, we can choose $\beta_{\mathbf{n}}, \rho_{\mathbf{n}}, Q_{\mathbf{n}}$ *for Part (b) of Theorem* (3.1).

Remark 3.2. *Our analysis and techniques in this section can be applied to consider a space fractional version of the* **Ginzburg-Landau** *type equation*

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{u}_t + \Lambda(t)(-\Delta)^{\beta}\mathbf{u} & = B(\mathbf{x},t)\mathbf{u} - C(\mathbf{x},t)\mathbf{u}^3 + G(\mathbf{x},t), & (x,t) \in \Omega \times (0,T), \\ \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x},t) & = 0, & \mathbf{x} \in \partial\Omega, \\ \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x},T) & = H(\mathbf{x}), & \mathbf{x} \in \Omega, \end{cases} \tag{3.27}$$

where the fractional Laplacian $(-\Delta)^{\beta}$ *is defined by the spectral theorem as follows for nice functions*

$$(-\Delta)^{\beta}h(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{p}\in\mathbb{N}^d}\lambda_{\mathbf{p}}^{\beta} < h, \psi_{\mathbf{p}} > \psi_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{p}\in\mathbb{N}^d}|\mathbf{p}|^{2\beta} < h, \psi_{\mathbf{p}} > \psi_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{x}).$$

See [12] *for more about this operator. Here,* B *and* C *are randomly perturbed observations*

$$\widetilde{B}_{i_1,i_2,...i_d}(t) := B(x_{i_1},...x_{i_d},t) + \vartheta\Psi_{i_1,i_2,...i_d}(t), \quad i_k = \overline{1,n_k}, \quad k = \overline{1,d}$$

and

$$\widetilde{C}_{i_1,i_2,...i_d}(t) := C(x_{i_1},...x_{i_d},t) + \vartheta\Psi_{i_1,i_2,...i_d}(t), \quad i_k = \overline{1,n_k}, \quad k = \overline{1,d}.$$

First, thanks to Theorem 2.1, we define the following functions

$$\widehat{B}_{\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(\mathbf{x},t) = \sum_{\mathbf{p}\in\mathcal{W}_{\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}}\left[\frac{\pi^d}{\prod_{k=1}^{d}n_k}\sum_{i_1=1}^{n_1}...\sum_{i_d=1}^{n_d}\widetilde{B}_{i_1,i_2,...i_d,t}\psi_p(x_{i_1},...x_{i_d})\right]\psi_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{x})$$

and

$$\widehat{C}_{\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(\mathbf{x},t) = \sum_{\mathbf{p}\in\mathcal{W}_{\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}}\left[\frac{\pi^d}{\prod_{k=1}^{d}n_k}\sum_{i_1=1}^{n_1}...\sum_{i_d=1}^{n_d}\widetilde{C}_{i_1,i_2,...i_d,t}\psi_p(x_{i_1},...x_{i_d})\right]\psi_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{x}).$$

Then we continue to approximate the function $F(\mathbf{v}) = B(\mathbf{x},t)\mathbf{v} - C(\mathbf{x},t)\mathbf{v}^3$ *by* $\overline{F}_{Q_{\mathbf{n}}}$ *defined by*

$$\overline{F}_{Q_{\mathbf{n}}}(\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x},t)) = \begin{cases} \widehat{B}_{\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(\mathbf{x},t)Q_{\mathbf{n}} - \widehat{C}_{\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(\mathbf{x},t)Q_{\mathbf{n}}^3, & \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x},t) > Q_{\mathbf{n}}, \\ \widehat{B}_{\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(\mathbf{x},t)\mathbf{v} - \widehat{C}_{\beta_n}(x,t)\mathbf{v}^3, & -Q_{\mathbf{n}} \leq \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x},t) \leq Q_{\mathbf{n}}, \\ -\widehat{B}_{\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(\mathbf{x},t)Q_{\mathbf{n}} + \widehat{C}_{\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(\mathbf{x},t)Q_{\mathbf{n}}^3, & \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x},t) < -Q_{\mathbf{n}}. \end{cases}$$

where we recall $Q_{\mathbf{n}}$ *as above. Let* $P = -A_1(-\Delta)^{\beta}$ *and where* $\mathbf{P}_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}}}$ *is defined by*

$$\mathbf{P}_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}}}(v) = \sum_{|\mathbf{p}|\leq M_{\mathbf{n}}A_1^{-\frac{1}{2\beta}}}^{\infty}|\mathbf{p}|^{2\beta}\big\langle v(x),\phi_p(x)\big\rangle_{L^2(\Omega)}\phi_p(x),$$

for any function $v \in L^2(\Omega)$. *We can study a regularized solution* $\widehat{U}^{\epsilon}_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}$ *which satisfies*

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{\partial\widehat{U}^{\epsilon}_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}}{\partial t} + \Lambda_{\epsilon}(t)(-\Delta)^{\beta}\widehat{U}^{\epsilon}_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}} - \mathbf{P}\widehat{U}^{\epsilon}_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}} + \mathbf{P}_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}}}\widehat{U}^{\epsilon}_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}} = F_{Q_{\mathbf{n}}}(\widehat{U}^{\epsilon}_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(\mathbf{x},t)) + \widehat{G}_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}}}(\mathbf{x},t), \ 0 < t < T, \\ \widehat{U}^{\epsilon}_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(\mathbf{x},t) = 0, \ \mathbf{x} \in \partial\Omega, \\ \widehat{U}^{\epsilon}_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(\mathbf{x},T) = \widehat{H}_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}}}(\mathbf{x}). \end{cases}$$

The convergence is not mentioned here and can be similarly worked out as in Theorem (3.1). *This is also an interesting topic in our forthcoming works.*

Proof of Theorem 3.1. We first prove the existence and uniqueness of the solution. Let $\widehat{V}^{\epsilon}_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(\mathbf{x},t)=\widehat{U}^{\epsilon}_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(\mathbf{x},T-t)$ and set $\overline{\Lambda}_{\epsilon}(t)=A_1-\Lambda_{\epsilon}(t)$. Then by (3.10), it is obvious that $\overline{V}_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(\mathbf{x},t)$ satisfies the following equation

$$\begin{cases}\dfrac{\partial\widehat{V}^{\epsilon}_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}}{\partial t}-\overline{\Lambda}_{\epsilon}(t)\Delta\widehat{V}^{\epsilon}_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}=\mathcal{G}\widehat{V}^{\epsilon}_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(\mathbf{x},t))-\widehat{G}_{\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(\mathbf{x},t),\ 0<t<T,\\ \widehat{V}^{\epsilon}_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(\mathbf{x},t)=0,\ \mathbf{x}\in\partial\Omega,\\ \widehat{V}^{\epsilon}_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(\mathbf{x},0)=\widehat{H}_{\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(\mathbf{x}),\end{cases}\tag{3.28}$$

where $\mathcal{G}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{G}w(\mathbf{x},t)=\mathbf{P}_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}}}w(\mathbf{x},t)-F_{Q_{\mathbf{n}}}(w(\mathbf{x},t)),$$

for any $w\in C\big([0,T];L^2(\Omega)\big)$. For any $w_1,w_2\in C\big([0,T];L^2(\Omega)\big)$, we obtain the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\mathcal{G}w_1(\mathbf{x},t)-\mathcal{G}w_2(\mathbf{x},t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\\ &\qquad\le\|F_{Q_{\mathbf{n}}}(w_1(\mathbf{x},t))-F_{Q_{\mathbf{n}}}(w_2(\mathbf{x},t))\|_{L^2(\Omega)}+\Big\|\mathbf{P}_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}}}w_1(\mathbf{x},t)-\mathbf{P}_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}}}w_2(\mathbf{x},t)\Big\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\\ &\qquad\le\big[\big(2+6Q_{\mathbf{n}}^2\big)+\rho_{\mathbf{n}}\big]\ \|w_1(\cdot,t)-w_2(\cdot,t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}.\end{aligned}\tag{3.29}$$

which we used (3.3) and (3.6). So $\mathcal{G}$ is a Lipschitz function. Using the results of Chapter 12, Theorem 12.2, page 211 of [2], we complete the proof of Step 1.
For estimates between $\widehat{U}^{\epsilon}_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}$ and $\mathbf{u}$, we divide the proof into two Parts:
Part 1. *Error estimate in L^2:*
Let $\overline{\Lambda}_{\epsilon}(t)=A_1-\Lambda_{\epsilon}(t)$. The main equation in (1.1) can be rewritten as follows

$$\frac{\partial\mathbf{u}}{\partial t}-\Lambda_{\epsilon}(t)\Delta\mathbf{u}=F(\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x},t))+G(\mathbf{x},t)-\Big(\Lambda_{\epsilon}(t)-\Lambda(t)\Big)\Delta\mathbf{u}.$$

For $\nu_{\mathbf{n}}>0$, we put

$$\mathbf{Y}^{\epsilon}_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\nu_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(\mathbf{x},t)=e^{\nu_{\mathbf{n}}(t-T)}\Big[\widehat{U}^{\epsilon}_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(\mathbf{x},t)-\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x},t)\Big].$$

Then, from the last two equalities, and an elementary computation gives

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{\partial\mathbf{Y}^{\epsilon}_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\nu_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(\mathbf{x},t)}{\partial t}+\overline{\Lambda}_{\epsilon}(t)\Delta\mathbf{Y}^{\epsilon}_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\nu_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(\mathbf{x},t)-\nu_{\mathbf{n}}\mathbf{Y}^{\epsilon}_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\nu_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(\mathbf{x},t)\\ &\qquad=-e^{\nu_{\mathbf{n}}(t-T)}\mathbf{P}_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}}}\mathbf{Y}^{\epsilon}_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\nu_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(\mathbf{x},t)+e^{\nu_{\mathbf{n}}(t-T)}\left(\mathbf{P}_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}}}-\mathbf{P}\right)\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x},t)\\ &\qquad+e^{\nu_{\mathbf{n}}(t-T)}\Big(\Lambda_{\epsilon}(t)-\Lambda(t)\Big)\Delta\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x},t)\\ &\qquad+e^{\nu_{\mathbf{n}}(t-T)}\Big[F_{Q_{\mathbf{n}}}(\widehat{U}^{\epsilon}_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(\mathbf{x},t))-F(\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x},t))\Big]+e^{\nu_{\mathbf{n}}(t-T)}\Big[\widehat{G}_{\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(\mathbf{x},t)-G(\mathbf{x},t)\Big],\end{aligned}\tag{3.30}$$

and

$$\mathbf{Y}^{\epsilon}_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\nu_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(\mathbf{x},t)|_{\partial\Omega}=0,\quad\mathbf{Y}^{\epsilon}_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\nu_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(\mathbf{x},T)=\widehat{H}_{\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(\mathbf{x})-H(\mathbf{x}).$$

By taking the inner product two sides of (3.30) with $\mathbf{Y}_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\nu_{\mathbf{n}}}$ and integrating over (t,T) one deduces that

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\mathbf{Y}_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\nu_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(\cdot,T)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}-\|\mathbf{Y}_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\nu_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(\cdot,t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\\ &-2\int_t^T\overline{\Lambda}_{\epsilon}(\tau)\|\mathbf{Y}^{\epsilon}_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\nu_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(\cdot,\tau)\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)}d\tau-2\nu_{\mathbf{n}}\int_t^T\|\mathbf{Y}^{\epsilon}_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\nu_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(\cdot,\tau)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}d\tau\\ &=\mathcal{L}_{1,\mathbf{n}}(t)+\mathcal{L}_{2,\mathbf{n}}(t)+\mathcal{L}_{3,\mathbf{n}}(t)+\mathcal{L}_{4,\mathbf{n}}(t)+\mathcal{L}_{5,\mathbf{n}}(t),\end{aligned}\tag{3.31}$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_{1,\mathbf{n}}(t) := -2\int_t^T\int_\Omega e^{\nu_{\mathbf{n}}(\tau-T)}\mathbf{P}_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}}}\mathbf{Y}^\epsilon_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\nu_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(\mathbf{x},\tau)\mathbf{Y}^\epsilon_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\nu_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(\mathbf{x},\tau)d\mathbf{x}d\tau \tag{3.32}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{2,\mathbf{n}}(t) := 2\int_t^T\int_\Omega e^{\nu_{\mathbf{n}}(\tau-T)}\left(\mathbf{P}_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}}}-\mathbf{P}\right)\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x},\tau)\mathbf{Y}^\epsilon_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\nu_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(\mathbf{x},\tau)d\mathbf{x}d\tau \tag{3.33}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{3,\mathbf{n}}(t) := -2\int_t^T\int_\Omega e^{\nu_{\mathbf{n}}(\tau-T)}\Big(\Lambda_\epsilon(\tau)-\Lambda(\tau)\Big)\Delta\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x},\tau)\mathbf{Y}^\epsilon_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\nu_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(\mathbf{x},\tau)d\mathbf{x}d\tau \tag{3.34}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{4,\mathbf{n}}(t) := 2\int_t^T\int_\Omega e^{\nu_{\mathbf{n}}(\tau-T)}\Big[F_{Q_{\mathbf{n}}}(\widehat{U}^\epsilon_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(\mathbf{x},\tau))-F(\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x},\tau))\Big]\mathbf{Y}^\epsilon_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\nu_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(\mathbf{x},\tau)d\mathbf{x}d\tau \tag{3.35}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{5,\mathbf{n}}(t) := 2\int_t^T\int_\Omega e^{\nu_{\mathbf{n}}(\tau-T)}\Big[\widehat{G}_{\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(\mathbf{x},\tau)-G(\mathbf{x},\tau)\Big]\mathbf{Y}^\epsilon_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\nu_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(\mathbf{x},\tau)d\mathbf{x}d\tau. \tag{3.36}$$

The expectation of $|\mathcal{L}_{1,\mathbf{n}}(t)|$ is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{E}|\mathcal{L}_{1,\mathbf{n}}(t)| &\le 2\mathbf{E}\left(\int_t^T\|\mathbf{P}_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}}}\mathbf{Y}^\epsilon_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\nu_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(.,\tau)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\|\mathbf{Y}^\epsilon_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\nu_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(.,\tau)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}d\tau\right)\\ &\le 2\rho_{\mathbf{n}}\int_t^T\mathbf{E}\|\mathbf{Y}^\epsilon_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\nu_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(.,\tau)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}d\tau\end{aligned} \tag{3.37}$$

where we have used (3.6). For the term $\mathcal{L}_{2,\mathbf{n}}(t)$, it follows by (3.7) and Cauchy-Schwartz inequality that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{E}|\mathcal{L}_{2,\mathbf{n}}(t)| &\le \mathbf{E}\left(\int_t^T e^{2\nu_{\mathbf{n}}(\tau-T)}A_1^2\rho_{\mathbf{n}}^{-2\gamma}e^{-2T\rho_{\mathbf{n}}}\|\mathbf{u}\|^2_{L^\infty\left(0,T;\mathcal{Z}_{\gamma,TA_1}(\Omega)\right)}d\tau\right)\\ &\quad+\mathbf{E}\left(\int_t^T\|\mathbf{Y}^\epsilon_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\nu_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(.,\tau)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}d\tau\right)\\ &\le TA_1^2\rho_{\mathbf{n}}^{-2\gamma}e^{-2T\rho_{\mathbf{n}}}\|\mathbf{u}\|^2_{L^\infty\left(0,T;\mathcal{Z}_{\gamma,TA_1}(\Omega)\right)}+\int_t^T\mathbf{E}\|\mathbf{Y}^\epsilon_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\nu_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(.,\tau)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}d\tau.\end{aligned} \tag{3.38}$$

Next, for $\mathcal{L}_{3,\mathbf{n}}(t)$, From the inequality $2\langle a_1,a_2\rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} \le \|a_1\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}+\|a_2\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}$ for any $a_i \in L^2(\Omega)$, $(i=1,2)$, we infer

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{E}|\mathcal{L}_{3,\mathbf{n}}(t)| &\le \mathbf{E}\left(\int_t^T e^{2\nu_{\mathbf{n}}(\tau-T)}\Big(\Lambda_\epsilon(\tau)-\Lambda(\tau)\Big)^2\|\Delta\mathbf{u}\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\,d\tau\right)+\mathbf{E}\left(\int_t^T\|\mathbf{Y}^\epsilon_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\nu_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(.,\tau)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}d\tau\right)\\ &\le \|\mathbf{u}\|^2_{L^\infty(0,T;H^2(\Omega))}\int_t^T\mathbf{E}\Big(\Lambda_\epsilon(\tau)-\Lambda(\tau)\Big)^2d\tau+\int_t^T\mathbf{E}\|\mathbf{Y}^\epsilon_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\nu_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(.,\tau)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}d\tau\\ &\le \epsilon^2\left(\int_0^T\mathbf{E}\Big|\overline{\xi}(\tau)\Big|^2d\tau\right)\|\mathbf{u}\|^2_{L^\infty(0,T;H^2(\Omega))}+\int_t^T\mathbf{E}\|\mathbf{Y}^\epsilon_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\nu_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(.,\tau)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}d\tau\\ &\le \epsilon^2T^2\|\mathbf{u}\|^2_{L^\infty(0,T;H^2(\Omega))}+\int_t^T\mathbf{E}\|\mathbf{Y}^\epsilon_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\nu_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(.,\tau)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}d\tau,\end{aligned} \tag{3.39}$$

where we have used the fact that $\Lambda_\epsilon(t)-\Lambda(t)=\epsilon\overline{\xi}(t)$ and $\mathbf{E}\Big|\overline{\xi}(t)\Big|^2=t$.
For $\mathcal{L}_{4,\mathbf{n}}$, thanks to (3.2) and (3.3), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{E}|\mathcal{L}_{4,\mathbf{n}}(t)| &\le \mathbf{E}\left(\int_t^T\Big\|e^{\nu_n(\tau-T)}\Big[F_{Q_{\mathbf{n}}}(\widehat{U}^\epsilon_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(.,\tau))-F(\mathbf{u}(.,\tau))\Big]\Big\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\ \|\mathbf{Y}^\epsilon_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\nu_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(.,\tau)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}d\tau\right)\\ &\le 2\left(1+3Q_n^2\right)\int_t^T\mathbf{E}\|\mathbf{Y}^\epsilon_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\nu_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(.,\tau)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}d\tau.\end{aligned} \tag{3.40}$$

The term $\mathbf{E}|\mathcal{L}_{5,\mathbf{n}}(t)|$ can be bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{E}|\mathcal{L}_{5,\mathbf{n}}(t)| &\leq \mathbf{E}\bigg(\int_t^T e^{2\nu_{\mathbf{n}}(\tau-T)}\left\|\widehat{G}_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}}}(.,\tau)-G(.,\tau)\right\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}d\tau+\int_t^T\|\mathbf{Y}_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\nu_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(.,\tau)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}d\tau\bigg)\\ &\leq \mathbf{E}T\left\|\widehat{G}_{\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}-G\right\|^2_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}+\int_t^T\mathbf{E}\|\mathbf{Y}^\epsilon_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\nu_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(.,\tau)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}d\tau.\end{aligned} \tag{3.41}$$

Combining (3.30), (3.37), (3.38), (3.39), (3.40), (3.41), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbf{E}\|\mathbf{Y}^\epsilon_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\nu_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(\cdot,T)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}-\mathbf{E}\|\mathbf{Y}^\epsilon_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\nu_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(\cdot,t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\\ &-2\int_t^T\overline{\Lambda}_\epsilon(\tau)\mathbf{E}\|\mathbf{Y}_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\nu_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(\cdot,\tau)\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)}d\tau-2\nu_n\int_t^T\mathbf{E}\|\mathbf{Y}^\epsilon_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\nu_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(\cdot,\tau)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}d\tau\\ &\geq -2\rho_{\mathbf{n}}\int_t^T\mathbf{E}\|\mathbf{Y}^\epsilon_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\nu_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(.,\tau)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}d\tau-T\rho_{\mathbf{n}}^{-2\gamma}e^{-2T\rho_{\mathbf{n}}}\mathbf{E}\|\mathbf{u}\|^2_{L^\infty\left(0,T;\mathcal{Z}_{\gamma,TA_1}(\Omega)\right)}\\ &-T\mathbf{E}\left\|\widehat{G}_{\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}-G\right\|^2_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}-\epsilon^2(T-t)\|\mathbf{u}\|^2_{L^\infty(0,T);H^2(\Omega))}\\ &-\left(5+6Q^2_{\mathbf{n}}\right)\int_t^T\mathbf{E}\|\mathbf{Y}^\epsilon_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\nu_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(.,\tau)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}d\tau.\end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{E}\|\mathbf{Y}^\epsilon_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\nu_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(\cdot,t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}&+\left(2\nu_{\mathbf{n}}-2\rho_{\mathbf{n}}-5-6Q^2_{\mathbf{n}}\right)\int_t^T\mathbf{E}\|\mathbf{Y}^\epsilon_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\nu_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(.,\tau)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}d\tau\\ &\leq \mathbf{E}\|\mathbf{Y}^\epsilon_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\nu_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(\cdot,T)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}+T\rho_n^{-2\gamma}e^{-2T\rho_{\mathbf{n}}}\mathbf{E}\|\mathbf{u}\|^2_{L^\infty\left(0,T;\mathcal{Z}_{\gamma,TA_1}(\Omega)\right)}\\ &+\epsilon^2(T-t)\|\mathbf{u}\|^2_{L^\infty(0,T);H^2(\Omega))}+T\mathbf{E}\left\|\widehat{G}_{\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}-G\right\|^2_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.42}$$

Let us choose $\nu_{\mathbf{n}}=\rho_{\mathbf{n}}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}&e^{2\nu_{\mathbf{n}}(t-T)}\mathbf{E}\|\widehat{U}^\epsilon_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(.,t)-\mathbf{u}(.,t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\\ &\quad\leq\left(5+6Q^2_{\mathbf{n}}\right)\int_t^T e^{2\nu_{\mathbf{n}}(\tau-T)}\mathbf{E}\|\widehat{U}^\epsilon_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(.,\tau)-\mathbf{u}(.,\tau)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}d\tau\\ &\quad+\mathbf{E}\|\widehat{H}_{\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}-H\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}+T\mathbf{E}\left\|\widehat{G}_{\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}-G\right\|^2_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}\\ &\quad+TA_1^2\rho_{\mathbf{n}}^{-2\gamma}e^{-2T\rho_{\mathbf{n}}}\|\mathbf{u}\|^2_{L^\infty\left(0,T;\mathcal{Z}_{\gamma,TA_1}(\Omega)\right)}+\epsilon^2(T-t)\|\mathbf{u}\|^2_{L^\infty(0,T);H^2(\Omega))}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.43}$$

Multiplying both sides by $e^{2\rho_{\mathbf{n}}T}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}&e^{2\rho_{\mathbf{n}}t}\mathbf{E}\|\widehat{U}^\epsilon_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(.,t)-\mathbf{u}(.,t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\\ &\leq\left(5+6Q^2_{\mathbf{n}}\right)\int_t^T e^{2\rho_{\mathbf{n}}\tau}\mathbf{E}\|\widehat{U}^\epsilon_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(.,\tau)-\mathbf{u}(.,\tau)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}d\tau\\ &+e^{2\rho_{\mathbf{n}}T}\mathbf{E}\|\widehat{H}_{\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}-H\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}+e^{2\rho_{\mathbf{n}}T}T\mathbf{E}\left\|\widehat{G}_{\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}-G\right\|^2_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}\\ &+\epsilon^2e^{2\rho_{\mathbf{n}}T}T\|\mathbf{u}\|^2_{L^\infty(0,T);H^2(\Omega))}+TA_1^2\rho_{\mathbf{n}}^{-2\gamma}e^{-2T\rho_{\mathbf{n}}}\|\mathbf{u}\|^2_{L^\infty\left(0,T;\mathcal{Z}_{\gamma,TA_1}(\Omega)\right)}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, Gronwall's inequality yields the desired estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
&e^{2\rho_{\mathbf{n}}t}\mathbf{E}\|\widehat{U}^{\epsilon}_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(.,t)-\mathbf{u}(.,t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\\
&\quad\leq\Bigg[e^{2\rho_{\mathbf{n}}T}\mathbf{E}\|\widehat{H}_{\beta_n}-H\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}+Te^{2\rho_{\mathbf{n}}T}\mathbf{E}\left\|\widehat{G}_{\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}-G\right\|^2_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}\\
&\quad+TA_1^2\rho_{\mathbf{n}}^{-2\gamma}\|\mathbf{u}\|^2_{L^\infty\left(0,T;\mathcal{Z}_{\gamma,TA_1}(\Omega)\right)}+\epsilon^2e^{2\rho_{\mathbf{n}}T}T\|\mathbf{u}\|^2_{L^\infty(0,T);H^2(\Omega))}\Bigg]e^{(5+6Q_{\mathbf{n}}^2)(T-t)}.
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{E}\|\widehat{U}^{\epsilon}_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(.,t)-\mathbf{u}(.,t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\\
&\quad\leq e^{2\rho_{\mathbf{n}}(T-t)}\Bigg[\mathbf{E}\|\widehat{H}_{\beta_n}-H\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}+T\mathbf{E}\left\|\widehat{G}_{\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}-G\right\|^2_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}\\
&\quad+TA_1^2\rho_{\mathbf{n}}^{-2\gamma}e^{-2\rho_{\mathbf{n}}t}\|\mathbf{u}\|^2_{L^\infty\left(0,T;\mathcal{Z}_{\gamma,TA_1}(\Omega)\right)}+\epsilon^2e^{2\rho_{\mathbf{n}}(T-t)}T\|\mathbf{u}\|^2_{L^\infty(0,T);H^2(\Omega))}\Bigg]e^{(5+6Q_{\mathbf{n}}^2)(T-t)}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.44}
$$

From Corollary (2.1), we see that the term $\mathbf{E}\left\|\widehat{H}_{\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}-H\right\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}+T\mathbf{E}\left\|\widehat{G}_{\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}-G\right\|^2_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}$ is of order

$$
\max\left(\frac{\beta_{\mathbf{n}}^{d/2}}{\prod_{k=1}^d(n_k)^{4\mu_k}},\ \beta_{\mathbf{n}}^{-\mu_0}\right).
\tag{3.45}
$$

This together with (3.44), we obtain (3.17).

Part 2. Estimate in $H^1(\Omega)$: Recall that $H^1(\Omega)$ is the space of the function f such that f and f' belong to $L^2(\Omega)$ with the norm defined by $\|f\|^2_{H^1}=\|f\|^2_{L^2}+\|f'\|^2_{L^2}$.

By taking the inner product two sides of (3.30) with $-\Delta\mathbf{Y}^{\epsilon}_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\nu_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}$, and integrating over (t,T) one deduces that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|\mathbf{Y}^{\epsilon}_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\nu_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(\cdot,T)\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)}-\|\mathbf{Y}^{\epsilon}_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\nu_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(\cdot,t)\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)}\\
&-2\int_t^T\overline{\Lambda}_{\epsilon}(\tau)\|\Delta\mathbf{Y}^{\epsilon}_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\nu_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(\cdot,\tau)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}d\tau-2\nu_{\mathbf{n}}\int_t^T\|\mathbf{Y}^{\epsilon}_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\nu_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(\cdot,\tau)\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)}d\tau\\
&=\mathcal{L}_{7,\mathbf{n}}(t)+\mathcal{L}_{8,\mathbf{n}}(t)+\mathcal{L}_{9,\mathbf{n}}(t)+\mathcal{L}_{10,\mathbf{n}}(t)+\mathcal{L}_{11,\mathbf{n}}(t)
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.46}
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{L}_{7,\mathbf{n}}(t):=2\int_t^T\int_\Omega e^{\nu_{\mathbf{n}}(\tau-T)}\mathbf{P}_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}}}\mathbf{Y}^{\epsilon}_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\nu_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(\mathbf{x},\tau)\Delta\mathbf{Y}^{\epsilon}_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\nu_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(\mathbf{x},\tau)d\mathbf{x}d\tau.
\tag{3.47}
$$

$$
\mathcal{L}_{8,\mathbf{n}}(t):=-2\int_t^T\int_\Omega e^{\nu_{\mathbf{n}}(\tau-T)}\left(\mathbf{P}_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}}}-\mathbf{P}\right)\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x},\tau)\Delta\mathbf{Y}^{\epsilon}_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\nu_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(\mathbf{x},\tau)d\mathbf{x}d\tau.
\tag{3.48}
$$

$$
\mathcal{L}_{9,\mathbf{n}}(t):=2\int_t^T\int_\Omega e^{\nu_{\mathbf{n}}(\tau-T)}\Big(\Lambda_{\epsilon}(\tau)-\Lambda(\tau)\Big)\Delta\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x},\tau)\Delta\mathbf{Y}^{\epsilon}_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\nu_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(\mathbf{x},\tau)d\mathbf{x}d\tau.
\tag{3.49}
$$

$$
\mathcal{L}_{10,\mathbf{n}}(t):=-2\int_t^T\int_\Omega e^{\nu_{\mathbf{n}}(\tau-T)}\Big[F_{Q_{\mathbf{n}}}(\widehat{U}^{\epsilon}_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(\mathbf{x},\tau))-F(\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x},\tau))\Big]\Delta\mathbf{Y}^{\epsilon}_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\nu_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(\mathbf{x},\tau)d\mathbf{x}d\tau.
\tag{3.50}
$$

$$
\mathcal{L}_{11,\mathbf{n}}(t):=-2\int_t^T\int_\Omega e^{\nu_{\mathbf{n}}(\tau-T)}\Big[\widehat{G}_{\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(\mathbf{x},\tau)-G(\mathbf{x},\tau)\Big]\Delta\mathbf{Y}^{\epsilon}_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\nu_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(\mathbf{x},\tau)\mathbf{x}d\tau.
\tag{3.51}
$$

Using the bound from Lemma 3.1 we can easily deduce that

$$
\mathbf{E}|\mathcal{L}_{7,\mathbf{n}}(t)|\leq 2\rho_{\mathbf{n}}\int_t^T\mathbf{E}\|\mathbf{Y}^{\epsilon}_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\nu_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(.,\tau)\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)}d\tau.
\tag{3.52}
$$

Using Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and Lemma 3.1, we estimate $|\mathcal{L}_{8,\mathbf{n}}(t)|$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{E}|\mathcal{L}_{8,\mathbf{n}}(t)| &\leq \mathbf{E}\left(\frac{16}{A_1-A_0}\int_t^T e^{2\nu_{\mathbf{n}}(\tau-T)}A_1^2\rho_{\mathbf{n}}^{-2\gamma}e^{-2T\rho_{\mathbf{n}}}\|\mathbf{u}\|^2_{L^\infty\left(0,T;\mathcal{Z}_{\gamma,TA_1}(\Omega)\right)}d\tau\right)\\ &+\mathbf{E}\left(\frac{A_1-A_0}{4}\int_t^T\|\Delta\mathbf{Y}_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\nu_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(\mathbf{x},\tau)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}d\tau\right)\\ &\leq \frac{16TA_1^2}{A_1-A_0}\rho_{\mathbf{n}}^{-2\gamma}e^{-2T\rho_{\mathbf{n}}}\|\mathbf{u}\|^2_{L^\infty\left(0,T;\mathcal{Z}_{\gamma,TA_1}(\Omega)\right)}+\frac{A_1-A_0}{4}\int_t^T\mathbf{E}\|\Delta\mathbf{Y}_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\nu_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(.,\tau)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}d\tau,\end{aligned}\tag{3.53}$$

and similarly using the fact that $\Lambda_\epsilon(\tau)-\Lambda(\tau)=\epsilon\xi(t)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{E}|\mathcal{L}_{9,\mathbf{n}}(t)| &\leq \mathbf{E}\left(\frac{16}{A_1-A_0}\int_t^T e^{2\nu_{\mathbf{n}}(\tau-T)}\Big(\Lambda_\epsilon(\tau)-\Lambda(\tau)\Big)^2\|\Delta\mathbf{u}\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\,d\tau\right)\\ &+\mathbf{E}\left(\frac{A_1-A_0}{4}\int_t^T\|\Delta\mathbf{Y}^\epsilon_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\nu_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(.,\tau)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}d\tau\right)\\ &\leq \frac{16}{A_1-A_0}\|\mathbf{u}\|^2_{L^\infty(0,T);H^2(\Omega))}\int_t^T\mathbf{E}\Big(\Lambda_\epsilon(\tau)-\Lambda(\tau)\Big)^2d\tau\\ &+\frac{A_1-A_0}{4}\int_t^T\mathbf{E}\|\Delta\mathbf{Y}^\epsilon_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\nu_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(.,\tau)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}d\tau\\ &\leq \frac{16}{A_1-A_0}\epsilon^2T^2\|\mathbf{u}\|^2_{L^\infty(0,T);H^2(\Omega))}+\frac{A_1-A_0}{4}\int_t^T\mathbf{E}\|\Delta\mathbf{Y}^\epsilon_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\nu_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(.,\tau)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}d\tau.\end{aligned}\tag{3.54}$$

The term $\mathbf{E}|\mathcal{L}_{10,\mathbf{n}}(t)|$ is estimated using the fact that for $|\mathbf{n}|$ large enough $F_{Q_{\mathbf{n}}}(\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x},\tau))=F(\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x},\tau))$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{E}|\mathcal{L}_{10,\mathbf{n}}(t)| &\leq \frac{16}{A_1-A_0}\int_t^T e^{2\rho_{\mathbf{n}}(\tau-T)}\Big\|F_{Q_{\mathbf{n}}}(\widehat{U}^\epsilon_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(\mathbf{x},\tau))-F(\mathbf{u}(.,\tau))\Big\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}+\frac{A_1-A_0}{4}\Big\|\Delta\mathbf{Y}^\epsilon_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\nu_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}\Big\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\\ &\leq \frac{16}{A_1-A_0}\left(1+3Q_{\mathbf{n}}^2\right)\int_t^T\mathbf{E}\|\mathbf{Y}^\epsilon_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\nu_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(.,\tau)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}d\tau+\frac{A_1-A_0}{4}\Big\|\Delta\mathbf{Y}^\epsilon_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\nu_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}\Big\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}.\end{aligned}$$

The term $\mathbf{E}|\mathcal{L}_{11,\mathbf{n}}(t)|$ can be bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{E}|\mathcal{L}_{11,\mathbf{n}}(t)| &\leq \mathbf{E}\left(\frac{16T}{A_1-A_0}\int_t^T e^{2\nu_{\mathbf{n}}(\tau-T)}\left\|\widehat{G}_{\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(.,\tau)-G(.,\tau)\right\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\,d\tau\right)\\ &+\mathbf{E}\left(\frac{A_1-A_0}{4}\int_t^T\|\Delta\mathbf{Y}^\epsilon_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\nu_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(.,\tau)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}d\tau\right)\\ &\leq \frac{16T}{A_1-A_0}\mathbf{E}\left\|\widehat{G}_{\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}-G\right\|^2_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}+\frac{A_1-A_0}{4}\int_t^T\mathbf{E}\|\Delta\mathbf{Y}^\epsilon_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\nu_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(.,\tau)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}d\tau.\end{aligned}\tag{3.55}$$

Combining (3.46), (3.52), (3.53), (3.54), (3.55) gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{E}\|\mathbf{Y}^{\epsilon}_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\nu_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(\cdot,T)\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)} - \mathbf{E}\|\mathbf{Y}^{\epsilon}_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\nu_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(\cdot,t)\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)}\\
&- 2\int_t^T \overline{\Lambda}_{\epsilon}(\tau)\mathbf{E}\|\Delta\mathbf{Y}^{\epsilon}_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\nu_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(\cdot,\tau)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}d\tau - 2\nu_{\mathbf{n}}\int_t^T \mathbf{E}\|\mathbf{Y}^{\epsilon}_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\nu_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(\cdot,\tau)\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)}d\tau\\
&\geq -2\rho_{\mathbf{n}}\int_t^T \mathbf{E}\|\mathbf{Y}^{\epsilon}_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\nu_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(.,\tau)\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)}d\tau - \frac{16TA_1^2}{A_1-A_0}\rho_{\mathbf{n}}^{-2\gamma}e^{-2T\rho_{\mathbf{n}}}\|\mathbf{u}\|^2_{L^{\infty}\left(0,T;\mathcal{Z}_{\gamma,TA_1}(\Omega)\right)}\\
&- \frac{16}{A_1-A_0}\mathbf{E}\left\|\widehat{G}_{\beta_{\mathbf{n}}} - G\right\|^2_{L^{\infty}(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} - \frac{16}{A_1-A_0}\epsilon^2(T-t)\|\mathbf{u}\|^2_{L^{\infty}(0,T);H^2(\Omega))}\\
&- \frac{16}{A_1-A_0}\left(1+3Q_{\mathbf{n}}^2\right)\int_t^T \mathbf{E}\|\mathbf{Y}^{\epsilon}_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\nu_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(.,\tau)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}d\tau - (A_1-A_0)\int_t^T \mathbf{E}\|\Delta\mathbf{Y}^{\epsilon}_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\nu_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(.,\tau)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}d\tau.
\end{aligned}
$$

Choose $\nu_{\mathbf{n}} = \rho_{\mathbf{n}}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
&e^{2\rho_{\mathbf{n}}(t-T)}\mathbf{E}\|\widehat{U}^{\epsilon}_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(.,t) - \mathbf{u}(.,t)\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)}\\
&\leq \frac{16}{A_1-A_0}\left(1+3Q_{\mathbf{n}}^2\right)\int_t^T e^{2\rho_{\mathbf{n}}(\tau-T)}\mathbf{E}\|\widehat{U}^{\epsilon}_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(.,\tau) - \mathbf{u}(.,\tau)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}d\tau\\
&+ \mathbf{E}\|\widehat{H}_{\beta_{\mathbf{n}}} - H\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)} + \frac{16T}{A_1-A_0}\mathbf{E}\left\|\widehat{G}_{\beta_{\mathbf{n}}} - G\right\|^2_{L^{\infty}(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}\\
&+ \frac{16TA_1^2}{A_1-A_0}\rho_{\mathbf{n}}^{-2\gamma}e^{-2T\rho_{\mathbf{n}}}\|\mathbf{u}\|^2_{L^{\infty}\left(0,T;\mathcal{Z}_{\gamma,TA_1}(\Omega)\right)} + \frac{16}{A_1-A_0}\epsilon^2(T-t)\|\mathbf{u}\|^2_{L^{\infty}(0,T);H^2(\Omega))}. \qquad (3.56)
\end{aligned}
$$

Multiplying both sides of the last inequality by $e^{2T\rho_{\mathbf{n}}}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&e^{2\rho_{\mathbf{n}}t}\mathbf{E}\|\widehat{U}^{\epsilon}_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(.,t) - \mathbf{u}(.,t)\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)}\\
&\leq \frac{16}{A_1-A_0}\left(1+3Q_{\mathbf{n}}^2\right)\int_t^T e^{2\rho_{\mathbf{n}}\tau}\mathbf{E}\|\widehat{U}^{\epsilon}_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(.,\tau) - \mathbf{u}(.,\tau)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}d\tau\\
&+ e^{2T\rho_{\mathbf{n}}}\mathbf{E}\|\widehat{H}_{\beta_{\mathbf{n}}} - H\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)} + \frac{16e^{2T\rho_{\mathbf{n}}}}{A_1-A_0}\mathbf{E}\left\|\widehat{G}_{\beta_{\mathbf{n}}} - G\right\|^2_{L^{\infty}(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}\\
&+ \frac{16TA_1^2}{A_1-A_0}\rho_{\mathbf{n}}^{-2\gamma}\|\mathbf{u}\|^2_{L^{\infty}\left(0,T;\mathcal{Z}_{\gamma,TA_1}(\Omega)\right)} + \frac{16}{A_1-A_0}\epsilon^2e^{2T\rho_{\mathbf{n}}}(T-t)\|\mathbf{u}\|^2_{L^{\infty}(0,T);H^2(\Omega))}. \qquad (3.57)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, we need to find an upper bound of $\mathbf{E}\|\widehat{H}_{\beta_{\mathbf{n}}} - H\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)}$. By Theorem 2.1 [13], we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\widehat{H}_{\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(x) - H(x)\right\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)}\\
&= 4\sum_{\mathbf{p}\in\mathcal{W}_{\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}}|\mathbf{p}|^2\left[\frac{\pi^d}{\prod_{k=1}^d n_k}\sum_{i_1=1}^{n_1}...\sum_{i_d=1}^{n_d}\Lambda_{i_1,i_2,...i_d}\Upsilon_{i_1,i_2,...i_d}\psi_p(x_{i_1},...x_{i_d}) - \overline{\mathbf{\Gamma}}_{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{p}}\right]^2\\
&\qquad + 4\sum_{\mathbf{p}\in\mathcal{W}_{\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}}|\mathbf{p}|^2\left|H_{\mathbf{p}}\right|^2\\
&\leq \underbrace{\frac{8\pi^{2d}}{\left(\prod_{k=1}^d n_k\right)^2}\sum_{\mathbf{p}\in\mathcal{W}_{\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}}|\mathbf{p}|^2\left[\sum_{i_1=1}^{n_1}...\sum_{i_d=1}^{n_d}\Lambda_{i_1,i_2,...i_d}\Upsilon_{i_1,i_2,...i_d}\right]^2}_{:=A_{111}}\\
&+ \underbrace{8\sum_{\mathbf{p}\in\mathcal{W}_{\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}}|\mathbf{p}|^2\left|\overline{\mathbf{\Gamma}}_{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{p}}\right|^2}_{:=A_{222}} + \underbrace{4\sum_{\mathbf{p}\notin\mathcal{W}_{\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}}|\mathbf{p}|^2\left|H_{\mathbf{p}}\right|^2}_{:=A_{333}}. \qquad (3.58)
\end{aligned}
$$

The expectation of A_{111} is bounded by

$$\mathbf{E}A_{111} \leq \frac{8\pi^{2d}}{\left(\prod_{k=1}^{d} n_k\right)^2} \sum_{p\in\mathcal{W}_{\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}} \beta_{\mathbf{n}} \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{d} n_k}{\pi^d} V_{\max}^2 = \frac{8\pi^d}{\prod_{k=1}^{d} n_k} V_{\max}^2 \beta_{\mathbf{n}} \mathrm{card}\left(\mathcal{W}_{\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}\right).$$

It follows from Theorem 2.1 [13] that

$$\mathbf{E}A_{111} \leq 8\pi^d V_{max}^2 \frac{2\pi^{d/2}}{d\Gamma(d/2)} \frac{\beta_{\mathbf{n}}^{\frac{d+2}{2}}}{\prod_{k=1}^{d} n_k}. \tag{3.59}$$

which we use (2.30) of [13]

$$\mathrm{card}\left(\mathcal{W}_{\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}\right) \leq \frac{2\pi^{d/2}}{d\Gamma(d/2)} \beta_{\mathbf{n}}^{d/2}. \tag{3.60}$$

From (2.37) of [13], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} A_{222} &= 8 \sum_{p\in\mathcal{W}_{\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}} |\mathbf{p}|^2 \left|\overline{\mathbf{\Gamma}}_{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{p}}\right|^2 \\ &\leq 8\beta_{\mathbf{n}} \mathcal{C}^2(\mu_1, ...\mu_d) \left\|H\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mu_0}(\Omega)}^2 \prod_{k=1}^{d} (n_k)^{-4\mu_k} \mathrm{card}\left(\mathcal{W}_{\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{16\mathcal{C}^2(\mu_1, ...\mu_d)\pi^{d/2}}{d\Gamma(d/2)} \left\|H\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mu_0}(\Omega)}^2 \beta_{\mathbf{n}}^{\frac{d+2}{2}} \prod_{k=1}^{d} (n_k)^{-4\mu_k}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.61}$$

For A_{333} on the right hand side of (3.58), noting that $|\mathbf{p}|^{\mathbf{2}} \geq \beta_{\mathbf{n}}$ if $\mathbf{p} \notin \mathcal{W}_{\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}$, we have the following estimation

$$A_{333} = 4 \sum_{p\notin\mathcal{W}_{\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}} |\mathbf{p}|^{-2\mu_0} |\mathbf{p}|^{2\mu_0+2} \left|H_p\right|^2 \leq 4\beta_{\mathbf{n}}^{-\mu_0} \left\|H\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mu_0+1}(\Omega)}^2. \tag{3.62}$$

Combining (3.58), (3.59), (3.61) and (3.62), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}\left\|\widehat{H}_{\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}(x) - H(x)\right\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 &\leq \mathbf{E}A_{111} + A_{222} + A_{333} \\ &\leq 8\pi^d V_{max}^2 \frac{2\pi^{d/2}}{d\Gamma(d/2)} \frac{\beta_{\mathbf{n}}^{\frac{d+2}{2}}}{\prod_{k=1}^{d} n_k} \\ &+ \frac{16\mathcal{C}^2(\mu_1, ...\mu_d)\pi^{d/2}}{d\Gamma(d/2)} \left\|H\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mu_0}(\Omega)}^2 \beta_{\mathbf{n}}^{\frac{d+2}{2}} \prod_{k=1}^{d} (n_k)^{-4\mu_k} + 4\beta_{\mathbf{n}}^{-\mu_0} \left\|H\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mu_0+1}(\Omega)}^2, \end{aligned} \tag{3.63}$$

which implies that $\mathbf{E}\left\|\widehat{H}_{\beta_{\mathbf{n}}} - H\right\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2$ is of order $\max\left(\frac{\beta_{\mathbf{n}}^{\frac{d+2}{2}}}{\prod_{k=1}^{d}(n_k)^{4\mu_k}},\ \beta_{\mathbf{n}}^{-\mu_0}\right)$. This together with Corollary 2.1 yields

$$\begin{aligned} &e^{2T\rho_{\mathbf{n}}} \mathbf{E}\|\widehat{H}_{\beta_{\mathbf{n}}} - H\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{16e^{2T\rho_{\mathbf{n}}}}{A_1 - A_0} \mathbf{E}\left\|\widehat{G}_{\beta_{\mathbf{n}}} - G\right\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}^2 \\ &+ \frac{16TA_1^2}{A_1 - A_0} \rho_{\mathbf{n}}^{-2\gamma} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty\left(0,T;\mathcal{Z}_{\gamma,TA_1}(\Omega)\right)}^2 + \frac{16}{A_1 - A_0} \epsilon^2 e^{2T\rho_{\mathbf{n}}} (T-t) \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty(0,T);H^2(\Omega))}^2 \end{aligned}$$

is of order

$$\max\left(\frac{e^{2\rho_{\mathbf{n}}T}\beta_{\mathbf{n}}^{\frac{d+2}{2}}}{\prod_{k=1}^{d}(n_k)^{4\mu_k}}, e^{2\rho_{\mathbf{n}}T}\beta_{\mathbf{n}}^{-\mu_0}, \rho_{\mathbf{n}}^{-2\gamma}\right) + \epsilon^2 e^{2\rho_{\mathbf{n}}T}. \tag{3.64}$$

Combining (3.57) and (3.64) gives that $\mathbf{E}\left\|\widehat{U}^{\epsilon}_{\rho_{\mathbf{n}},\beta_{\mathbf{n}}} - \mathbf{u}\right\|_{H^1(\Omega)}$ is of order

$$\exp\Big(\frac{48TQ_{\mathbf{n}}^2}{A_1-A_0}\Big)\max\left(\frac{e^{2\rho_{\mathbf{n}}(T-t)}\beta_{\mathbf{n}}^{\frac{d+2}{2}}}{\prod_{k=1}^{d}(n_k)^{4\mu_k}}, e^{-2\rho_{\mathbf{n}}t}\rho_{\mathbf{n}}^{-2\gamma}, e^{2\rho_{\mathbf{n}}(T-t)}\beta_{\mathbf{n}}^{-\mu_0}\right) + \exp\Big(\frac{48TQ_{\mathbf{n}}^2}{A_1-A_0}\Big)\epsilon^2 e^{2\rho_{\mathbf{n}}T}. \tag{3.65}$$

This implies the desired result (3.22).

□

References

[1] K.A. Ames *Continuous dependence on modelling and non-existence results for a Ginzburg-Landau equation*, Math. Methods Appl. Sci. 23 (2000), no. 17, 1537-1550.

[2] M. Chipot, *Elements of nonlinear analysis*, Birkhuser Advanced Texts: Basler Lehrbcher. [Birkhuser Advanced Texts: Basel Textbooks] Birkhuser Verlag, Basel, 2000. viii+256 pp. ISBN: 3-7643-6406-8.

[3] N. Bissantz, H. Holzmann, *Asymptotics for spectral regularization estimators in statistical inverse problems* Comput. Statist. 28 (2013), no. 2, 435–453.

[4] L. Cavalier, *Nonparametric statistical inverse problems*, Inverse Problems, No. 24, 034004, 19, 2008.

[5] D. D. Cox, *Approximation of method of regularization estimators,* Ann. Statist., 16 (1988), pp. 694–712.

[6] H. W. Engl, M. Hanke, and A. Neubauer, *Regularization of Inverse Problems,* Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, Boston, London, 1996.
1999.

[7] J. Hadamard, *Lectures on the Cauchy Problem in Linear Differential Equations,* Yale University Press, New Haven, CT, 1923.

[8] K. Katou *Asymptotic spatial patterns on the complex time-dependent Ginzburg-Landau equation* J. Phys. A 1986; 19: 1063–1066

[9] C. König, F. Werner, T. Hohage, *Convergence rates for exponentially ill-posed inverse problems with impulsive noise.* SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 54 (2016), no. 1, 341–360.

[10] R. Lattès, J.-L. Lions; *Méthode de Quasi-réversibilité et Applications*, Dunod, Paris, 1967.

[11] A.B. Mair, F.H. Ruymgaart, *Statistical inverse estimation in Hilbert scales.* SIAM J. Appl. Math. 56 (1996), no. 5, 1424–1444

[12] E. Nane and N.H. Tuan, *Approximate solutions of inverse problems for nonlinear space fractional diffusion equations with randomly perturbed data* https://arxiv.org/abs/1611.01876

[13] M. Kirane, E. Nane and N.H. Tuan, *Regularized solutions for some backward nonlinear parabolic equations with statistical data,* https://arxiv.org/abs/1701.08459.

[14] N.H. Tuan, V.A. Khoa, T. Tran, *On an inverse boundary value problem of a nonlinear elliptic equation in three dimensions* J. Math. Anal. Appl. 426 (2015), no. 2, 1232-1261.

[15] D.D. Trong, T.D. Khanh, N.H. Tuan, N.D. Minh. *Nonparametric regression in a statistical modified Helmholtz equation using the Fourier spectral regularization.* Statistics 49 (2015), no. 2, 267-290

M. Kirane

LaSIE, Faculté des Sciences et Technologies, Universié de La Rochelle, Avenue M. Crépeau, 17042 La Rochelle, France
E-mail address: mokhtar.kirane@univ-lr.fr

E. Nane

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Auburn University, Auburn, USA
E-mail address: ezn0001@auburn.edu

N.H. Tuan

Department of Mathematics and Informatics, University of Science, Viet Nam National University VNU-HCMC, Viet Nam
E-mail address: nguyenhuytuan@tdt.edu.vn or thnguyen2683@gmail.com